

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,514	09/15/2003	Abdada Lin	LIN207	7416	
1444 7	590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			FLORY, CHRISTOPHER A		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/66	1,514	LIN, ABDADA	•		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			opher A. Flory	3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm o period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 Sentemb	er 2003				
2a)□	·	2b)⊠ This action i		•			
3)		•		tters prosecution as to th	ne merits is		
ت. ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims		<b>y</b>				
· _		application					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		consideration	•	•		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ie willialawii iloili	consideration.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	n requirement				
٥/۵	are subject to restric	andro ciccuo	·				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 Septembe</u>	e <u>r 2003</u> is/are: a)∑	☑ accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is red	quired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)  🏹	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
		ior roroign priority		3 1 10(4) (4) 01 (1).			
/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have t	neen received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority			Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies			· ·	ıl Stage		
	application from the Internatio				·······································		
* 5	see the attached detailed Office actio	•		t received.			
			•				
	•						
		•		•			
Attachmen	• •			_			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>15 September 2003</u> .	•	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of US Patent 5,337,753 in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 10 should be dependent on method claim 9, not apparatus claim 1 as it is currently written. Claim 10 and its dependent claims will be examined as though depending from claim 9.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,514

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claims 1, 2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leon et al. (US Patent 5,365,934) in view of Richter (US Patent 6,115,629, hereinafter referred to as Richter 629).

Regarding claim 1, Leon et al. discloses a bi-point detection type heart-rate monitor comprising two electrically conductive contact terminals for touching of the two hands of a person (Fig. 1; Fig. 15, biopotential sensor 38; column 2, lines 1-8 and column 3, lines 33-45); an amplifier-filter circuit to amplify and remove noises from the sampled signal (Fig. 1, Filter/Amplifier 24; Fig. 6; column 6, line 64 through column 7, line 34); and a processing and output circuit (signal processor 28 and display 32) adapted to receive and process a periodic signal, to obtain the mean frequency of that signal, and to output the frequency value obtained to a display unit (Fig. 8; column 1, lines 35-39; column 2, lines 9-24).

It is noted that, although Leon et al. does not expressly disclose sampling an impedance signal but rather a biopotential signal (column 6, lines 51-66), impedance measurement is an inherent function of any bi-point detection type heart-rate monitor in that, for the constant current delivered to the circuit which is completed by contact with the hands, bioimpedance and voltage potential are directly proportional, analogous means of determining and analyzing a cardiac waveform representative of heart rate. This is also known prior art as admitted to by the applicant (page 1, line 20 through page 2, line 1 of the instant application).

Leon et al. discloses the instant invention substantially as claimed in claim 1 except for a waveform converter to rectify the amplifier-filter circuit output into a square

Application/Control Number: 10/661,514

Art Unit: 3762

wave before processing to obtain the mean frequency. Richter'629 teaches a square wave rectifier (Fig. 2B, square wave 228) to output a POLAR OUT signal in the form of a square wave having a period frequency corresponding to heart rate for the purpose of achieving a standard format which can interface with most exercise equipment as well as wireless heart rate detectors, and to use this signal in order to execute a heart rate calculation using the processor of the apparatus (column 5, lines 19-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system as taught by Leon et al. with the square wave rectifier as taught by Richter'629 for the same advantage of achieving a standard format that can interface with most exercise equipment and wireless heart rate detectors (motivation to combine provided by Richter'629, column 5, lines 19-67).

Regarding claim 2, Leon et al. discloses a detection unit (Figure 7, hands-off detector circuit) adapted to detect simultaneous touching of said contact terminals (column 3, lines 33-45) and to display the output frequency value to a display unit when the detection result is positive (Fig. 8).

Regarding claims 6-7, thin-film switches (e.g. piezo-sensor) and micro switches are well known in the art as a trigger means for contact detection circuits, and constitute an obvious design choice on the part of the inventor. Therefore, these claim limitations do not distinguish the instant application over the prior art.

Regarding claim 8, Leon et al. discloses that the processing and output circuit is a microprocessor (Fig. 2, microprocessor 40).

Art Unit: 3762

5. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leon et al. in view of Richter'629 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ikeyama (US Patent 4,664,127, hereinafter Ikeyama'127).

Leon et al. in view of Richter'629 discloses the instant invention substantially as claimed except that the detection unit comprises infrared transmitting and receiving devices centrally located in a hole disposed at the geographical center of the contact terminals. In the same problem solving area, lkeyama'127 teaches the use of infrared LED sensors centrally located and recessed in a hole in the contact terminal (Fig. 2, LED sensor SE 8 centrally disposed around cylinder) for the purpose of verifying whether a heartbeat has been sensed, recognizing abnormalities, and alerting the user of any abnormal trends (ABSTRACT; column 4, lines 9-21 and lines 57-69). In the arrangement claimed by Ikeyama'127, proper gripping of the steering wheel (i.e. the contact terminal) would suggest that the palm of each of the right and left hand would be centered above an infrared LED sensor. Before a sensor can monitor and calculate a heart beat, it must inherently first recognize, through a change in sensor output value, that a hand has been placed above that particular sensor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the centrally disposed and recessed infrared LED sensors of the Ikeyama'127 patent in the Leon et al. device for the same advantages of recognizing that a hand has been placed over the sensor and sensing the heart beat of the user (motivation to combine provided by Ikeyama'127, column 4, lines 9-21 and 57-69).

Art Unit: 3762

6. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leon et al. in view of Richter'629 and in view of the applicant's admitted prior art (Admission).

Regarding claim 9, Leon et al. discloses a bi-point heart-rate monitoring method comprising the steps of letting the hands of the person to be examined hold a respective contact terminal (column 6, lines 51-64); amplifying the impedance signal measured and removing noise (column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 3); driving a processing and output circuit to receive and process a periodic signal, to obtain the mean frequency of that signal, and to output the frequency value obtained to a display unit (Fig. 8; column 1, lines 35-39; column 2, lines 9-24; column 9, line 45 through column 11, line 5).

Further regarding claim 9, step (b), Leon et al. discloses the instant method substantially as claimed, but does not expressly disclose the step of applying a voltage to the contact terminals in order to measure the impedance signal between them. However, Admission teaches that a conventional heart-rate monitor will apply a proper voltage to two parts of the body, and then measure the frequency of the impulse signal (indicative of HR) after amplification (page 1, line 20 through page 2, line 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an active electrode configuration and apply a voltage to the contact terminals as disclosed in Admission in the method of the Leon et al. patent in order to achieve the same advantage of measuring bioimpedance to calculate heart rate (motivation to combine provided by the instant application, page 1, line 20 through page 2, line1).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,514 Page 7

Art Unit: 3762

Further regarding claim 9, steps (d)-(e), Leon et al. discloses the instant invention substantially as claimed, but does not expressly disclose the step of using a waveform converter to rectify the outputted waveform into a square wave. Richter'629 teaches a square wave rectifier (Fig. 2B, square wave 228) to output a POLAR OUT signal in the form of a square wave having a period frequency corresponding to heart rate for the purpose of achieving a standard format which can interface with most exercise equipment as well as wireless heart rate detectors, and to use this signal in order to execute a heart rate calculation using the processor of the apparatus (column 5, lines 19-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system as taught by Leon et al. with the square wave rectifier as taught by Richter'629 for the same advantage of achieving a standard format that can interface with most exercise equipment and wireless heart rate detectors (motivation to combine provided by Richter'629, column 5, lines 19-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Leon et al. discloses a sub-step of detecting contact between the hands of the person to be examined and the contact terminals before proceeding to measure the impedance signal (Figures 8 & 9; column 7, lines 3-13; column 9, lines 11-31); and a sub-step of stopping monitoring action when the hands are not simultaneously touching the respective contact terminals (column 20, lines 52-60)

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leon et al. in view of Richter'629 and further in view of Admission as applied to claim 9 above,

Application/Control Number: 10/661,514

Art Unit: 3762

and still further in view of Golen et al. (US Patent 5,318,487) or Barney (US Patent 4,312,358, hereinafter Barney'358).

Paragraph 6 outlines prior disclosure of the instant invention substantially as claimed except for the step of producing a warning signal when the hands are not simultaneously touching the respective contact terminals. In the same field of endeavor, Golen et al. teaches the use of a warning signal in conjunction with an exercise system in order to prompt the user place his hands on the sensors so the computer can refresh the heart rate data and to deter the user from exercising beyond his aerobic level (column 4, lines 32-41). Also in the same field of endeavor, Barney'358 teaches using an audible alarm in conjunction with a heart beat monitor to warn a user if heart rate is too high or too low (column 9, lines 48-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Leon et al. device to include a warning signal when the contact terminals are not simultaneously touched for the same advantages of refreshing the heart rate data being monitored by the computer and to warn the user of an out-of-range heart rate that might indicate dangerous exertion levels (motivation to combine provided by Golen et al., column 4, lines 32-41 and Barney'358, column 9, lines 48-56).

Art Unit: 3762

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Flory whose telephone number is (571) 272-6820. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher A. Flory

George Manuel Primary Examiner